Remarks/Arguments:

The above Amendments and these Remarks are in reply to the Office Action

mailed February 21, 2007.

Claims 1-30 were pending in the Application prior to the outstanding Office

Action. In the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 1-30. The present Response

cancels claims 12, amends claims 11 and 13-20, leaving for the Examiner's present

consideration claims 1-20. Reconsideration of the rejections is requested.

The drawings are objected to failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because

they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: 100,

106 and 108.

Figure 1 has been amended to remove reference numerals 100, 106 and 108.

Replacement figure 1 is enclosed.

Claim 12 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being improper dependent form

for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim (claim 11). Claim 12 has

been canceled without prejudice.

Claims 11-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is

directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claims 11 and 13-20 have been amended to be "computer-implemented"

systems. For this reason, claims 11 and 13-20 are believed to be statutory.

Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Soares et

al. (VersionWeb: A Tool for Helping Web page Version Control).

Claims 1, 11 and 21 read as follows:

1. A method for propagating an application wherein the application

includes a plurality of components, said method comprising:

selecting a destination environment:

Attorney Docket No.: BEAS-01432US1

propagating the components from a source environment to the destination environment according to a set of rules: and

wherein the propagation of at least two of the components can be performed in parallel.

11. A computer-implemented system for propagating an application wherein the application includes a plurality of components, said system comprising:

a process interface operable to accept propagation requests:

a difference engine operable to propagate the components from a source environment to a destination environment according to a set of rules:

threading model operable to instantiate instances of the difference engine: and

wherein the propagation of at least two of the components can be performed in parallel.

21. A machine readable medium having instructions stored thereon that when executed by a processor cause a system to:

select a destination environment:

propagate a plurality components of an application from a source environment to the destination environment according to a set of rules: and

wherein the propagation of at least two of the components can be performed in parallel.

These claims state that "the propagation of at least two or the components is done in parallel." This is not shown or made obvious by Soares.

Soares describes a version web tool that encapsulates and provides a user-interface for CVS (Concurrent Version System). A Wikipedia description of CVS is enclosed with this amendment. The concurrent version system allows multiple users to check out files and send modified files to a server, but CVS (and version web that encapsulates CVS)

does not propagate components in parallel.

Propagation is the movement of files from a source location to a destination

location. In Soares, multiple users can have files checked out but each of the multiple

users propagates the files serially.

For this reason, claims 1, 11 and 21 as well as dependent claims 2-10, 12-20 and

22-30 are believed to be allowable.

In light of the above, it is respectfully submitted that all of the claims now pending

in the subject patent application should be allowable, and a Notice of Allowance is requested. The Examiner is respectfully requested to telephone the undersigned if he can

assist in any way in expediting issuance of a patent.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any underpayment or credit any

overpayment to Deposit Account No. 06-1325 for any matter in connection with this

response, including any fee for extension of time, which may be required.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: May 14, 2007

By: /Joseph P. O'Malley/

Joseph P. O'Malley Reg. No. 36,226

FLIESLER MEYER LLP 650 California Street, 14th Floor

San Francisco, CA 94108 (415) 362-3800

Customer No.: 23910